

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,123	12/07/2001	Takahiro Yamashita	32011-176968	1043
26694 . 75	90 07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
VENABLE LI			NEURAUTER	, GEORGE C
P.O. BOX 3438	35 N, DC 20045-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASIMVOTO	. DC 20043-3338		2143	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/005,123	YAMASHITA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143			
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTHE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the lifthe period for reply specified at lifth NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set or lifthe and lifthe set or lifthe set o	THIS COMMUNICATION. ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. blove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period we sextended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	(1) In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 11 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .	i			
2a)⊠ This action is FINA	L. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
. —	'					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/a	-25 is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-2 and 6-25 are currently presented and have been examined.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11 April 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Gobuyan does not teach a bit map for referencing a transmission control rule which is not stored in a storage by itself but stored of other search means. The Applicant is referred to the rejections below regarding this limitation.

Claim Objections

Claims 24 and 25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 24 is missing a period at the end of the claim. See MPEP 601.08(m) ("Each claim begins with a capital letter and ends with a period.")

Claim 25 recites "...wherein said data type is electric mail." "Electric mail" is not described in the specification, however, the Examiner will assume that the limitation "electronic mail", which is disclosed within the specification, is recited instead of "electric mail".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2143

Claim Interpretation

The element "transmission control rule" defined on page 7, line 11-page 8, line 8 of the specification and recited in claims 1-20 will be given its broadest reasonable interpretation and will be interpreted by the Examiner as information that sets the transmission route that is consistent with the disclosures of the specification and the interpretation that those skilled in the art would reach. See MPEP § 2111.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 and 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "first search means that...searches for a transmission control rule corresponding to the destination address", "...second search means that reads prescribed information...from [a] datagram and that searches for a transmission control rule corresponding to said prescribed information", and "wherein each of said search means comprises a

Art Unit: 2143

storage for storing said transmission control rule and a bit map for referencing said transmission control rule which is not stored in a storage by itself but stored in a storage of other search means." This claim is unclear since the claim recites two distinct transmission control rules and it cannot be determined as to which transmission control rule is stored or not stored on the first and one or a plurality of second search means since the claims requires "referencing said transmission control rule which is not stored in a storage of itself but in a storage of other search means" and wherein each search means comprises "a storage for storing said transmission control rule".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 6-16, and 18-21 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5 917 821 to
Gobuyan et al.

Regarding claim 1, Gobuyan discloses a datagram transmission device comprising:

Art Unit: 2143

first search means (referred to throughout the reference as "destination address look-up engine" or "DALE") that reads the destination address from a received datagram and searches for a transmission control rule ("filter") corresponding to the destination address; (column 1, lines 24-28; column 2, lines 13-17 and 40-50; column 3, lines 20-24; column 10, lines 10-17)

one or a plurality of second search means ("source address look-up engine" or "SALE") (alternatively "microcode engine" or "LEC") that reads prescribed information other than the destination address information from said datagram ("source address") (alternatively "protocol") and that searches for a transmission control rule ("filter") corresponding to said prescribed information; (column 1, lines 24-28; column 2, lines 13-17 and 40-50; column 3, lines 20-24; column 10, lines 10-17; column 11, lines 29-37) (see also "filtering rule tree"; Figure 16)

decision means that respectively inputs search results from said first and second search means and determines said transmission control rule contained in the search results of all of said search means; (column 3, lines 20-28)

execution means that executes transmission control in accordance with said transmission control rule determined by

Art Unit: 2143

said decision means; (column 2, lines 40-50, specifically lines 46-50)

wherein each of said search means comprises a storage for storing said transmission control rule and a bit map ("filtering rule tree"; Figure 16; column 12, line 10) for referencing said transmission control rule which is not stored in a storage of itself but stored in a storage of other search means (column 2, lines 40-50; column 10, lines 10-18)

Regarding claim 2, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said first search means and said second search means perform said searches in parallel. (column 2, lines 32-39; column 3, lines 24-28)

Regarding claim 6, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said second search means employs information belonging to the third layer in the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) reference model ("network layer") or a layer above said third layer as said information. (column 2, lines 13-17)

Regarding claim 7, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said second search means employs information belonging to the second layer in the Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) reference model ("MAC") as said information. (column 2, lines 13-17)

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 8, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 7 wherein the information belonging to said second layer is information indicating a virtual channel identifier of asynchronous transfer mode. ("CI"; column 4, lines 59-61)

Regarding claim 9, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 8 wherein said transmission control rule is information for deciding a transmission route. ("filter"; column 2, lines 40-50)

Regarding claim 10, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said decision means, after inputting all of the search results of said first and second search means, calculates the logical product of these search results, and outputs the result of this calculation as the decision result. (column 3, lines 26-28)

Regarding claim 11, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 10 wherein, if said transmission control rule obtained by said first search means includes only transmission route information, said transmission route information is output as the decision result without carrying out said logical product calculation. (column 10, lines 40-44)

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 12, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 11 wherein, if it is ascertained that said transmission control rule obtained by said first search means includes only transmission route information, a control signal is output for interrupting the operation of said second search means. (column 10, lines 40-44)

Regarding claim 13, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein, every time said decision means inputs said transmission control rules as the search results from said first and second search means not at one time, calculates the logical product between already input search results when a prescribed number of said search results have been input, calculates the logical product between said logical product and other search result whenever said other search result is newly input, and outputs the final calculation result as the decision result. (column 3, lines 14-15 and 26-28)

Regarding claim 14, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 13 wherein, if said transmission control rule obtained by said first search means includes only transmission route information, said transmission route information is output as the decision result without subsequently performing said logical calculation. (column 10, lines 40-44)

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 15, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 14 wherein, if it is ascertained that said transmission control rule obtained by said first search means includes only transmission route information, a control signal is output for interrupting operation of said second search means. (column 10, lines 40-44)

Regarding claim 16, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1, wherein said first and second search means perform searching using a dichotomizing search method. (column 3, lines 14-15)

Regarding claim 18, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said datagram transmission device is an Internet protocol router. (column 2, lines 4-17, specifically lines 7-8 and 15)

Regarding claim 19, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said datagram transmission device is an Internet protocol switch. (column 2, lines 4-17, specifically lines 7-8 and 15)

Regarding claim 20, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1 wherein said datagram is an Internet protocol packet. (column 2, lines 4-17, specifically lines 7-8 and 15; column 5, lines 27-42)

Art Unit: 2143

Claim 21 is rejected since claim 21 recites a datagram transmission device that contains substantially the same limitations as recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered

Art Unit: 2143

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gobuyan et al. in view of Applicant's admitted prior art, specifically Laidopen Japanese Patent Publication No. 2000-188608.

Regarding claim 17, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 1.

Gobuyan does not expressly disclose wherein said first and second search means perform searching using the 2^p search method.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of these references since the Applicant discloses that the 2^p search method speeds up a route search wherein the search of P branch levels can be performed by a single process and the search is reduced by a factor of 1/P (page 2, lines 6-12 of the specification). In view of these specific advantages and that both references are directed to route searching through the use

Art Unit: 2143

of a dichotomizing search method, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine these references and would have considered them to be analogous to one another based on their related fields of endeavor.

Claims 22-25 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gobuyan in view of "AppSwitch Does Layer 7 Switching" ("AppSwitch").

Regarding claim 22, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 21.

Gobuyan does not expressly disclose wherein said prescribed information is a type information of the datagram, however, Gobuyan does disclose the prescribed information as recited in claim 21.

"AppSwitch" discloses wherein said prescribed information is a type information of the datagram (page 1, specifically "...AppSwitch 2000, one of the first Layer 7 switches...[b] ecause the switch operates at Layer 7, it is application-aware and can prioritize or deny access based on the application in use on the network, such as e-mail...the key to AppSwitch's Layer 7 switching capability is deep-packet analysis, which allows the switch to prioritize the flow of network traffic by application.")

Art Unit: 2143

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of these references since "AppSwitch" discloses that using prescribed information such as a type information of a datagram enables priority switching of datagrams based on a certain type of datagram used by an application (see above). In view of these specific advantages and that the references are directed to transmitting datagrams based on rules that use the information contained within the datagram, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to combine these references and would have considered them to be analogous to one another based on their related fields of endeavor.

Regarding claim 23, Gobuyan and "AppSwitch" disclose the datagram transmission device according to claim 22.

Gobuyan does not expressly disclose wherein said type information of datagram is the type information of application, however, "AppSwitch" does disclose this limitation page 1, specifically "...AppSwitch 2000, one of the first Layer 7 switches...[b] ecause the switch operates at Layer 7, it is application-aware and can prioritize or deny access based on the application in use on the network, such as e-mail...the key to AppSwitch's Layer 7 switching capability is deep-packet

analysis, which allows the switch to prioritize the flow of network traffic by application.")

Claim 23 is rejected since the motivations regarding the combination of Gobuyan and "AppSwitch" regarding claim 22 also apply to claim 23.

Regarding claim 24, Gobuyan discloses the datagram transmission device according to claim 21.

Gobuyan does not expressly disclose wherein said prescribed information is a data type, however, "AppSwitch" does disclose this limitation (page 1, specifically "...AppSwitch 2000, one of the first Layer 7 switches...[b] ecause the switch operates at Layer 7, it is application-aware and can prioritize or deny access based on the application in use on the network, such as e-mail...the key to AppSwitch's Layer 7 switching capability is deep-packet analysis, which allows the switch to prioritize the flow of network traffic by application.")

Regarding claim 25, Gobuyan and "AppSwtich" disclose the datagram transmission device according to claim 24.

Gobuyan does not expressly disclose wherein said data type is electric mail, however, "AppSwtich does disclose this limitation page 1, specifically "...AppSwitch 2000, one of the first Layer 7 switches...[b] ecause the switch operates at Layer 7, it is application-aware and can prioritize or deny access

Art Unit: 2143

based on the application in use on the network, such as e-mail...").

Claim 25 is rejected since the motivations regarding the combination of Gobuyan and "AppSwitch" regarding claim 22 also apply to claim 25.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following prior art teaches reading prescribed information from datagrams for the purposes of transmission control at certain ranges of Open System Interconnection layers, known within the art as "Layer ____ Switching" (e.g. "Layer 2 Switching" or "Layer 3-7 Switching"):

US Patent 6 308 218 to Vasa;

US Patent 6 882 654 to Nelson;

US Patent 6 892 237 to Gai et al.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened

Art Unit: 2143

statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Neurauter, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9AM to 5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gcn

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100